


**Reply to Pre-bid Queries for IE Package-3**

S.No.	Clause No. Section & Reference	Query	Reply
1.	<p>RFP Page 2, Section 1: Information to Consultants, Clause 7</p> <p>“The weightage of Technical and Financial score shall be 70% and 30% respectively”</p> <p>RFP Page 20, data Sheet , Clause 5.8</p> <p>“The weightage given to Technical Proposal is 70% The weightage given to Financial Proposal is 30%”</p>	<p>It is a normal practice in NHA/Ministry and even state projects for preferring 80% technical weightage in order to attract quality proposals.</p> <p>We request you to kindly consider weighted of Technical and Financial score as 80% and 20% respectively.</p>	As per RFP
2.	<p>Section 1: Information to Consultants, Clause 11, page 2-3</p> <p>The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report of 2/4-laning project.....</p>	<p>In NHA/ MoRTH for 2-lane project experience of 4/6 lane also considered with a multiplication factor of 1.5. It is requested to kindly consider the same for this project also.</p> <p><i>Also would request to allow consultant experience to be counted in last 10 years in place of 7 years.</i></p>	As per RFP
3.	<p>RFP Page 10, Section 2, Letter of Invitation to consultants, Clause 3.4 (iv)(b)</p> <p>“If any information is found incorrect. at any stage, action including termination and debarment from future PWD projects up to 2 years may be taken by PWD on the personnel and the Firm”</p> <p>RFP Page 10, Section 2, Letter of Invitation to consultants, Clause 3.4(iv)(g)</p> <p>“In case CV of a person is turned out to be fake/incorrect/inflated during the assignment, the consultancy firms shall have to refund the salary and perks drawn in respect of the person apart from other consequences.”</p> <p>RFP Page 11. Section 2, Letter of invitation to consultants, Clause 3.4(vii)</p>	<p>The CVs are just mirror of the professional indicating their qualification and attaining experience for number of years with different organizations. The professional has to furnish a certificate for the correctness of qualification and experience and has to put signature on all pages. Also there is a provision of debarment up to 2 years in case of reporting of incorrect CV.</p> <p>The consultant request that the professional shall be exclusivity held responsible for the incorrect/inflated/fabricated CV, being sole beneficiary, to be found during the course of the assignment. The consultant has no mechanism to verify the correctness of credential for number of years.</p> <p>We request for the amendment of the relevant clauses of RFP for inflicting any penalty on the consultants being unaccountable in this regard.</p>	As per RFP




**Reply to Pre-bid Queries for IE Package-3**

S.No.	Clause No. Section & Reference	Query	Reply
	<p>“A certification to the effect should be furnished by the Consultant that they have checked the qualifications and experience details submitted by the key personnel in their CVs and found to be correct. This certification should be made in CVs of all key personnel after the certification by the candidate. The format of CV includes certification to this effect”</p> <p>REP Page 95, General conditions of Contract, Clause 4.5 (d), “ in order to prevent the tendency of the personnel and consulting firm to submit incorrect and inflated CV, ..... The consulting firm and the personnel through consulting firm should be informed by PWD while accepting CV of the new personnel that if CV is found in correct and inflated at a late date, the personnel accepted would be removed from this assignment and debarred from further PWD works for an appropriate period to be decided by PWD and the new proposed personnel in place of removed personnel would be paid 15% less salary than the original personnel. 15% reduction in the salary will be imposed as a penalty for submitting the incorrect information. This penalty will be imposed only ones .....</p>		
4.	<p>RFP Page 11, Section 2, Letter of invitation to consultants, Clause 3.4(ix),</p> <p>“ 10% reduction in remuneration shall be considered for each such substitution up to 2 replacements”</p> <p>RFP Page 14, Section 2, Letter of invitation to consultants, Clause 6.6</p> <p>“10% reduction in remuneration shall be considered for each such substitution up to 2 replacements”</p>	<p>We request that similar to NHAI/Ministry works the reduction in remuneration of such replacements shall be 5% and 10% for 1<sup>st</sup> replacement and 2<sup>nd</sup> replacement respectively.</p>	<p>As per RFP</p>



**Reply to Pre-bid Queries for IE Package-3**

S.No.	Clause No. Section & Reference	Query	Reply
5.	<p>RFP Page 15, Section 2, Letter of invitation to consultants, Clause 6.7</p> <p>"Each key personnel of the preferred consultant shall be called for interview at the time of negotiation at the cost of the Consultant. At the time of interview, the key personnel shall produce the originals of the certificates (Proof of age, Qualifications and experience from their respective employers) in support of their CVs for verification and return"</p>	<p>We understand that officials retired from MoRT&amp;H NHAI /State/UT PWD has to produce only a documentary proof of retirement for justification of experience. The exemption is accordance with NHAI/MoRT&amp;H Projects. Pl. Confirm.</p>	<p>As per RFP</p>
6.	<p>Section 2 Letter of Invitation to Consultants: Clause 8.1.5, Page 16</p> <p>To participate in e-bidding, Bidders have to pay Rs. 1,000 (Rupees one thousand only) towards non-refundable to e-procurement service provider against tender processing fee through demand draft in favour of MD, RISL payable at Jaipur</p>	<p>Please clarify that the fee for e procurement services shall be submitted with Technical proposal. It is also requested to kindly make the provision of online submission of the same.</p>	<p>Scanned Copy of Demand Draft is to be uploaded on e-proc. Original (hard copy) to be submitted along with the proposal as per clause 8.2.1</p>
7.	<p>RFP Page 23, Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment. Clause 3.1</p> <p>Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer. General Qualifications. (II) Post Graduation in Highway Engineering</p>	<p>We request that the post- graduation in any streams of Civil Engineering viz Structural Engineering, Soil/Foundation Engineering, Geo-Tech Engineering Traffic/Transportation Engineering/Pavement Design, may be considered in addition to the Highway Engineering.</p>	<p>As per Addendum No. 1</p>
8.	<p>Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for Adequacy of the Assignment. Clause 3.2 Senior Bridge Design Engineer, page 25</p> <p>Experience of Bridge work in other countries 1 make for each complete year of experience subject to maximum 2 (two) marks. Similar Capacity includes the following positions (i) On behalf be consultant: Sr. Bridge Design Engineer/Sr. Bridge Engineer (Design only)</p>	<p>We request you to kindly relax the criteria of experience of Bridge works in other countries.</p> <p>We request Client to consider position of Bridge Design Engineer as the similar capacity.</p>	<p>As per RFP</p>



**Reply to Pre-bid Queries for IE Package-3**

S.No.	Clause No. Section & Reference	Query	Reply
9.	RFP Page 25, Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment. Clause 3.2 Senior Bridge Design Engineer, Refer 2(a), (i),(ii),(iii)	We understand that the experience gained in Independent Engineer / Construction Supervision/DPR/ Proof Consultancy projects shall meet the requirement of Bridge Design as stated in the RFP.	Experience in Construction Supervision shall not be considered.
10.	RFP Page 27, Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment. Clause 3.4 Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer	Please clarify that whether person having experience in any position in highway works, shall be considered for qualifying point No. 2(i), (ii), & (iii) as the position of gaining experience is not defined.	Person having experience either as Highway Engineer or Resident Engineer only shall be considered.
11.	<p>Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for Adequacy of the Assignment.</p> <p>Clause 3.6 Senior Quality/Material, page 29</p> <p>Note: Similar Capacity included the following positions</p> <p>(i) On behalf of Consultant/Contractor: Senior Pavement Specialist/Senior Pavement Engineer/ Senior Pavement Expert</p> <p>(ii) On behalf of Government Executive Engineer</p>	We request that the similar capacity also include the position of Pavement Engineer/Pavement Expert.	As per RFP
12.	<p>RFP Page 30, Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment. Clause 3.7 Senior Quality/Material Export</p> <p>Note: Similar Capacity includes the following positions</p> <p>(i) On behalf of Consultant/Contractor: Senior Quality Export/Senior Material Engineer/Senior Material Export/Senior Quality Engineer</p> <p>(ii) On behalf of Government: Executive Engineer</p>	We request that the similar capacity also include the position of Quality Engineer / Material Engineer	As per RFP

**Reply to Pre-bid Queries for IE Package-3**

S.No.	Clause No. Section & Reference	Query	Reply															
13.	RFP Page 31, Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment. Clause 3.8 Highway Design Engineer, (II) Post Graduation in Highway Engineering.	We request that the Post-graduation in Transportation Engineering is also considered in addition to the Highway Engineering.	As per Addendum No. 1															
14.	As per APPENDIX C-3: BREAKDOWN OF COSTS Key personnel (professional staff) Sr. no. 3, 5, 6, 7	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Position</th> <th>As per REP</th> <th>Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Resident cum Highway Engineer</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bridge/Structural Engineer</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Senior Pavement Specialist</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Senior Quality cum Material Expert</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Position	As per REP	Proposed	Resident cum Highway Engineer	3	4	Bridge/Structural Engineer	2	3	Senior Pavement Specialist	2	4	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	2	4	As per RFP
Position	As per REP	Proposed																
Resident cum Highway Engineer	3	4																
Bridge/Structural Engineer	2	3																
Senior Pavement Specialist	2	4																
Senior Quality cum Material Expert	2	4																
15.	As per APPENDIX C-3: BREAKDOWN OF COSTS Under Sub-professional Sr. no.5 Assistant Bridge Engineer	We request for deployment of 7 Assistant Bridge Engineers with 168 months input (2 nos. for Nagaur Mukundgarh section and one each for remaining sections)	As per RFP															
16.	As per APPENDIX C-3: BREAKDOWN OF COSTS Under Sub-professional Sr. no.1 Survey Engineer	We understand that shortage of Survey Engineers seriously hampers the progress of work. Similar to above we recommend mobilization of 7 Survey Engineers totaling 210 months input	As per RFP															
17.	As per APPENDIX C-3: BREAKDOWN OF COSTS Under Support Staff Sr. no.4,5,6	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Position</th> <th>As per REP</th> <th>Proposed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Steno</td> <td>4</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Computer Operator</td> <td>4</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Guard</td> <td>8</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Position	As per REP	Proposed	Steno	4	6	Computer Operator	4	6	Guard	8	10	As per RFP			
Position	As per REP	Proposed																
Steno	4	6																
Computer Operator	4	6																
Guard	8	10																


**Reply to Pre-bid Queries for IE Package-3**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Clause No. Section &amp; Reference</b>	<b>Query</b>	<b>Reply</b>
18.	RFP Page 54, Transportation	The forest stretch is 196 km. With availability of 8 numbers of vehicles for 3 numbers of Resident Engineers together with other staff, the problem shall arise for supervision of distanced scattered roads. We request for addition allocation of 3 nos. of Ambassador/ Indica or equivalent vehicles.	As per RFP
19.	Under clause V. Office Rent (Fixed Costs) on Pg.No.55.	We request to provide 3 additional offices.	As per RFP
20.	APPENDIX C-3: Breakdown of Costs, IV. Office supplies, utilities and communication, Page 54  The 36 months provided is inadequate.	As there are three offices, it is requested to Kindly increase the months accordingly.	As per RFP
21.	RFP Page 66, Enclosure 'A'	Where the key personal & sub professional are proposed more than 1 No. The breakup of man months is given separately for Construction as well as for Development & maintenance period for mobilization.	As per RFP
22.	Enclosure B: Qualification of key Personal sub Professional: Environment Engineer, page 73  The Candidate should be Graduate in Civil Engineering/Environmental Engineering of other relevant qualification.	It is requested to kindly clarify "other relevant qualification"	As per RFP
23.	Clause 18 of section 1 on page no.5.	We request to extend date of submission by 3 weeks after publishing minutes of pre-bid.	As per Addendum No. 1
24.	Project	The project has 6 roads of different length, it is requested to kindly clarify all these roads are interconnected or at different locations. Please also confirm the roads are 2 lane or 4 lane.	As per RFP
25.	Clause 4,5, GCC, Page 94  The remuneration to be paid to any of the Key Personnel provided as replacement shall be 90% of the remuneration which would have been payable to the key Personnel replaced. However, for the reason other than death/extreme medical ground (i) for total replacement upto	The penalty for the replacement is too high in view of that we request Client to kindly amend the Clause in line with the NHAI/MoRTH. The same is given hereunder: The remuneration to be paid to any of the key personnel provided as a replacement shall be 90% of the remuneration which would have been payable to the Key Personnel	

*(Handwritten signature)*

**Reply to Pre-bid Queries for IE Package-3**

S.No.	Clause No. Section & Reference	Query	Reply
	33% of key personal, remuneration shall be reduced by 20% (ii) for total replacement upto between 33% to 50% remuneration shall be reduced by 25% and (iii) for total replacement upto between 50% to 66%, remuneration shall be reduced by 30% (iv) For total replacements beyond 66% of the key personnel the client shall initiate action of higher penalty/termination/debarment upto 2 years as considered appropriate.	replaced. However, for the reason other than death/extreme medical ground, (i) for total replacement upto 33% of key personnel, remuneration shall be reduced by 5% (ii) for total replacement upto between 33% to 50%, remuneration shall be reduced by 10% and (iii) for total replacement upto between 50% to 66%, remuneration shall be reduced by 15% (iv) for total replacement s beyond 66% of the key personnel the client shall initiate action of higher penalty/termination/ debarment up to 2 year as considered appropriate.	As per RFP
26.	Letter of Invitation/ 3.4/ix  PWD shall not further consider CV of such key personnel directly or indirectly for any of its projects for this period. 10% reduction in remuneration shall be considered for each such substitution upto 2 replacements. In case during negotiations, more than two replacements are sought by the H1 (Highest) consultant, his proposal shall be considered as Non-Responsive. In such case the combined score of next five top firms, meeting the non-conflict condition shall be evaluated to arrive at new H1.	As the key personal team required for this project is large in comparison to the standard requirement of Independent Engineer Team for road projects, we would request to kindly allow up to 4 replacements during negotiation.	As per RFP
27.	Data Sheet/5.3/(i)  Sub criteria for Relevant Experience of the firm for the assignment & Evaluation Criteria for Assessment of Experience of the Firm sheet.	<i>Data Provided in the sub criteria and assessment sheet is not matching with the criteria provided in eligibility criteria for bidder, there is a lot of data mismatch in the both. Please provide the corrected marking sheet.</i>	As per Addendum No. 1

  
 (Anoop Kulshreshtha)  
 Additional Chief Engineer (PPP)  
 PWD, Rajasthan, Jaipur.